

Shaneeda Jaffer (SBN 253449)
sjaffer@beneschlaw.com
Lily A. North (CA 260709)
lnorth@beneschlaw.com
BENESCH FRIEDLANDER COPLAN &
ARONOFF LLP
100 Pine Street, Suite 3100
San Francisco, California
Telephone: (628) 600-2250
Facsimile: (628) 221-5828

Gary A. Bornstein (*pro hac vice*)
gbornstein@cravath.com
Yonatan Even (*pro hac vice*)
yeven@cravath.com
Lauren A. Moskowitz (*pro hac vice*)
lmoskowitz@cravath.com
Michael J. Zaken (*pro hac vice*)
mzaken@cravath.com
CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP
Two Manhattan West
375 Ninth Avenue
New York, New York 10001
Telephone: (212) 474-1000
Facsimile: (212) 474-3700

Counsel for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

EPIC GAMES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

V.

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD;
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
INC.; and GOOGLE LLC.

Defendants.

Case No. 3:24-CV-06843-JD

STIPULATED AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING EXPERT STIPULATION

Judge: Hon. James Donato

Upon the agreement of the parties in the above-captioned action (the "Parties", and each individually, a "Party") regarding the scope of disclosures and discovery relating to all experts (testifying and non-testifying), the Court enters this Order:

1. Except as specifically set forth and/or modified herein, the Parties shall comply with all of the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26 with regard to the scope of disclosures and discovery relating to all experts.

2. Any expert retained in connection with the above-captioned action shall be provided with a copy of this Order.

3. The Parties agree that the only disclosures relating to retained experts (testifying and non-testifying) and consultants in the above-captioned action shall be those pertaining only to testifying experts, resulting from and as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2) as modified or limited by this Order.

4. For purposes both of the disclosure requirement agreed at Paragraph 3 above and also for purposes of discovery relating to experts, the term "considered" as used in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B)(ii) and 26(b)(4)(C)(ii) shall be interpreted as "relied upon."

5. Unless relied upon by a testifying expert as a basis for his or her opinion(s) expressed in final expert reports, declarations or other testimony in this litigation, the following categories of information, whether in documents, communications, or other forms, shall not be discoverable:

- a. The content of communications between a testifying expert and his or her staff;
- b. The content of communications between, on the one hand, a testifying expert and his or her staff and, on the other hand, any other non-testifying experts or consultants and their staff;
- c. The content of communications between, on the one hand, a testifying expert and his or her staff, and, on the other hand, the Party who retained that expert;
- d. The content of communications between, on the one hand, a testifying expert and his or her staff, and, on the other hand, any other testifying experts and their staff retained by the same Party; and
- e. Work product prepared by or at the direction of a testifying expert, other than a

testifying expert's final report or declaration submitted in this litigation (including, but not limited to, notes, outlines, memoranda, research and analyses), as well as communications regarding that work product with that testifying expert's staff or any other non-testifying experts or consultants.

6. The Parties shall not be obliged to include documents encompassed within the scope of Paragraph 5 above on any privilege log.

7. Within three calendar days of service of an expert report, declaration, or affidavit, the Party submitting such report, declaration, or affidavit shall produce all the data, documents, and other information relied upon by the expert witness as a basis for the expert witness's opinion(s). Data, documents, and other information relied upon by an expert witness shall include, but are not limited to, all data, spreadsheets (including formulas embedded in spreadsheet cells, if applicable), statistical analyses, regression analyses, input and output files for each program or computer code, programs, computer code, and other sources, reports, schedules, literature, or websites. A detailed readme file that explains (1) each of the source input files and Bates-numbered data files to be included in each specific folder of the backup production and (2) the specific order to run each program or computer code shall also be produced. Bates-numbered documents previously produced by any Party and documents that are publicly available need not be produced, but a list of any such documents identified by Bates number (and, for publicly available documents, the location where such materials can be located) shall be included with the information relied upon by the expert. Neither Party needs to produce programs or software that (i) are publicly available at reasonable cost and within a reasonable time and (ii) are not practicable to copy, as long as the Party offering the expert's opinion provide timely and reasonable access and instructions for purposes of replication or analysis of disclosed results. Documents that are publicly available must be identified but need not be produced absent specific request. Documents and data should be produced electronically (via email or storage device such as hard drive or thumb drive) where appropriate.

8. The production of information relied upon shall be sufficient for the opposing Party and experts to reconstruct and verify the expert's work, calculations, and analyses, and shall include any instructions and guides necessary to assist in that effort. The producing Party also shall promptly

1 answer reasonable technical inquiries about data, programs, or code prior to the expert's deposition.

2 9. Without prejudice to a party's rights under Federal Rule of Civil
3 Procedure 26(b)(4)(D)(i) and (ii), all work product prepared by or at the direction of an expert or
4 consultant who is not expected to be called as a witness at trial (including, but not limited to, notes,
5 outlines, memoranda, reports, drafts, research and analyses), as well as communications by or among
6 such non-testifying experts or consultants or their staff, shall not be discoverable through any means.

7 10. Nothing in this Order shall limit or waive any Party's rights to object for any reason to
8 the admission of any opposing Party's expert testimony into evidence or to the qualification of any
9 person to serve as an expert witness.

10 11. The requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(4)(E) shall not apply in this
11 litigation.

12 12. This Order may be amended only by a subsequent written stipulation among the Parties
13 or upon order of the Court.

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

2 Dated: October 24, 2025

3 /s/ Gary A. Bornstein

4 Shaneeda Jaffer (SBN 253449)
 sjaffer@beneschlaw.com
 5 Lily A. North (CA 260709)
 lnorth@beneschlaw.com
 BENESCH FRIEDLANDER COPLAN &
 6 ARONOFF LLP
 7 100 Pine Street, Suite 3100
 San Francisco, California
 Telephone: (628) 600-2250
 Facsimile: (628) 221-5828

10 Gary A. Bornstein (*pro hac vice*)

11 gbornstein@cravath.com

12 Yonatan Even (*pro hac vice*)

13 yeven@cravath.com

14 Lauren A. Moskowitz (*pro hac vice*)

15 lmoskowitz@cravath.com

16 Michael J. Zaken (*pro hac vice*)

17 mzaken@cravath.com

18 CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP

Two Manhattan West

375 Ninth Avenue

New York, New York 10001

Telephone: (212) 474-1000

Facsimile: (212) 474-3700

19 *Counsel for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc.*

20 /s/ Jeannie S. Rhee

21 Jeannie S. Rhee (*pro hac vice*)
 jrhee@dirllp.com
 Karen L. Dunn (*pro hac vice pending*)
 kdunn@dirllp.com
 Jessica E. Phillips (*pro hac vice*)
 jphillips@dirllp.com
 Martha L. Goodman (*pro hac vice*)
 mgoodman@dirllp.com
 DUNN ISAACSON RHEE LLP
 401 Ninth Street, NW
 Washington, DC 20004
 Telephone: (202) 240-2900

22 Meredith R. Dearborn (SBN 268312)

23 mdearborn@dirllp.com

24 DUNN ISAACSON RHEE LLP

345 California Street

25 San Francisco, CA 94104

26 Telephone: (202) 240-2900

27 *Counsel for Defendant Google LLC*

ORDER

Pursuant to stipulation, it is so ordered.

DATED: _____

HON. JAMES DONATO
United States District Court Judge

E-FILING ATTESTATION

I, Gary Bornstein, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this document. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the signatories identified above has concurred in this filing.

/s/ Gary A. Bornstein

Gary A. Bornstein